Center for Aircraft Structural Life Extension

Providing Structural Integrity Technology to the Aerospace Community







Environmental Effects on Fatigue Crack Growth

Jimmy Burns, UVa Scott Fawaz, SAFE

The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official polices and endorsements, either expressed or implied of the US Air Force Academy or the US Government. Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited



- This research is funded by the OSD Corrosion Policy and Oversight Office, OuSD AT&(L) (FA7000-11-2-0011)
- Mr. Dan Dunmire, Director, OSD-CPO
- Mr. Rich Hays, Deputy Director, OSD-CPO
- Dr. Greg Shoales, Director, CAStLE, USAFA





Outline

- Relevance
- Background
- Flight Environment
- Fatigue Resistance
- Hydrogen Embrittlement
- Preliminary Results
- Next Steps





Relevance







Background

- How does the environment affect crack growth
 - Develop a robust database of crack growth rate data as a function of exposure (P_{H20}/f)
 - Understand the environmental fatigue process
- Can we slow the corrosion fatigue rate?
 - Standard test protocol for inhibitor evaluation
 - Effect of chromate on crack growth rate
 - Effect of ionic inhibitors on crack growth rate
 - Inhibitor leaching behavior
- Update life prediction software to
 - Use appropriate rate data for given mission segment
 - Track damage accumulation by segment
 - Include new stress intensity factor solutions





Flight Environment



Primary Loading

- Aggressive Maneuvers
- ≈30,000 ft = -44°C
- *f* = 0.005-0.2 Hz
- Aicher, 1976; Aronstein, 1997



Fuselage Loads

- Pressurization
- 8,000-50,000 ft -5 to -57°C
- *f* = 0.00003-0.001 Hz
- Hunt; Wanhill, 2001

Wing Loads

- Taxi/Take-off/Landing
- Wind Gusts
- 40% >10,000 ft; Thus < -5°C
- *f* = 0.1-10 Hz
- Jorge, 1979
- Aerodynamic Loads
 - Fuselage/Control Surfaces
- 0-50,000ft; Thus 0-60°C
- *f* = 0.0003-30 Hz

Fatigue Resistance at Low T and P_{H20}

40,000-

60,000

-57



- Characterize the effect of P_{H2O} on fatigue crack propagation
- Increase understanding of the governing mechanisms

Fatigue Resistance is Increased at Low T and P_{H20}







Hydrogen Environment Embrittlement Process







Preliminary LEFM Modeling Results





Integrity - Service - Excellence

Crack Growth as a Function of Exposure



Significant effect on fatigue life and inspection intervals



Mission Segment Definition by Flight

Segment Name	Segment Time (s)	Total Time (s)	Altitude (ft.)
Start	0	0	0
Pre-Flight Taxi	600	600	0
Takeoff	60	660	0
Climb	112	772	5000
Climb	152	924	15000
Climb	201	1125	25000
Climb	295	1420	35000
Cruise	5142	6562	41000
Descent	421	6983	35000
Descent	389	7372	25000
Descent with S/B	424	7796	15000
Descent	452	8248	5000
Approach	300	8548	5000
Landing	0	8548	0
Post-Flight Taxi	300	8848	0





Crack Growth Rate Data by Mission Segment





Integrity - Service - Excellence



Next Steps

- LexTech Capability Enhancements
 - Spectrum Manager
 - Each mission segment in the spectrum can have environmental parameters (*T*, *P*_{H2O}, user, etc.) defined
 - AFGROW
 - Accept multiple material data input
- Verification LexTech
- Validation SAFE
 - Compare to lab test results
 - Can compare to in-service cracking results if the data is available

